

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,455	07/24/2001	Jovan E. Lebaric	073169 0278126	4456
7590 07/23/2004		EXAMINER		
Rosenberg, Klein & Lee			TRINH, MINH N	
3458 Ellicott Center Dr. Ste. 101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ellicott City, MD 21043			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		TW.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/912,455	LEBARIC ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Minh Trinh	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The 2b The 2b</li></ul>	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,14 and 26-31 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	s/are withdrawn from conside	ration.
Application Papers	,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/912,455 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the "conditional" request for RCE application is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-12 and 15-25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of <u>U.S.</u>

<u>Patent No. 6,718,619 to Lebaric et al</u>, hereinafter '619. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully claimed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Lebaric et al '619 claim a method of manufacturing an antenna capable of being mounted on a printed circuit board, comprising: selecting desire dimensions of a unitary

piece of material according to an operating wavelength; stamping out the unitary piece of material from a larger section of material according to the desire dimensions to form the antenna the unitary piece comprising: a circular area having a center and an outer region; and a stem area having a first end and a second end, the first end joined with the outer region, the unitary piece bendable at the first end and the outer region (compare claim 1 of the '619).

As applied to claims 2-12, note that the '619 claims the limitations of claims 2-12 (compare claims 4-13).

As applied to claims 15-18 and 19-25 these limitations are also met by the '619 (compare claims 14-17 and 22-30).

### Prior Art References

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Prior art references are cited for their teaching of a method for manufacturing monopole antenna or the like.

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone numbers for

Application/Control Number: 09/912,455

Art Unit: 3729

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7307 for

regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Minh Trinh

Patent Examiner Group 3729

Page 4

mt